

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,169	08/23/2000	Eddie L. Willis	WILLIS	1131
7590 05/02/2005		EXAMINER		
MARK D. PERDUE STORM & HEMINGWAY, LLP 8117 PRESTON ROAD SUITE 460 DALLAS, TX 75225			NGUYEN, CHI Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/644,169	WILLIS, EDDIE L.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
4		Chi Q Nguyen	3635				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for	• •		MONTHYS) FROM				
THE N - Extense after S - If the I - If NO - Failun Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	19 February 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
1	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>16-26</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16,17,19,20,22,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18,21,23 and 26</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) 🔲 7	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)□ 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
:	Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in A	Application No				
;	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But 	· · · · · ·	received in this National Stage				
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
		,					
Attachment((s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI No(s)/Mail Date	8/08) 5) Notice of 1 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/644,169

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/19/2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-18, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard claim 16, the cited limitation "to secure the frame member against movement parallel to the frame rails" is confusing because the examiner unclear what frame member does the applicant refer to, the floor members or frame rail members? Since the claims 17 and 18 depending upon claim 16 are also rejected.

Regarding claims 18, 23, the phrase "can be" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/644,169

Art Unit: 3635

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

As best understood, claims 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turpin, Jr. (US 2,841,437) in view of Walworth (US 5,575,525).

In regard to claims 16, 19, 22, and 24 Turpin teaches deck construction for cattle trucks or trailers comprising a plurality of flooring member 7, a pair of parallel, spaced apart frame rails 8, one on each side (col. 2, lines 13-14) form of angle iron, the frame rails confining the flooring members 7 therebetween in closely spaced adjacent relation to form a floor surface, a plurality of inverted T-beams which extend across the width of the body from one side to the other. The two of the plurality of inverted T-beams 7 could serve as a pair of end members and they could be moveable relative to the frame rail for spacing purpose. Turpin does not teach specifically a pair of end members being formed of c-channel instead of inverted T-beams as taught by Turpin. Walworth teaches a truck bed including an end member 32 having a U-shaped cross section or channel (col. 5, lines 52-54). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the T-beam for a C-beam taught by Walworth. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide more integrity because the C-beam having two legs, which more stronger than one leg of the T-beam.

Art Unit: 3635

In regard claims 17, 20, and 25 Turpin teaches the claimed invention wherein the flooring members are wooden planks (col. 2, lines 5-6).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18, 21, 23 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (571) 272-6842. The examiner's right fax number is (571) 273-6847.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

CQN 4-27-2005

Patut Examine